City Council Committee Calls Union

Several councilmen who works in the City and are interested in improving the working conditions in the trade unions expressed dissatisfaction with the labor situation. The committee has been studying the problem for some time and has decided to take action. The councilmen are planning to hold a meeting with the mayor and other city officials to discuss the situation.

Local 301 Making An All-Out Effort To Bring the Company To Its Senses

Local 301 leaders have gone all out to bring the General Electric Company to its senses before its "iron fist" policy policy in Bldg. 65 has disastrous consequences.

DURING THE past year—while GE big wigs were pounding their chests in public and shouting, "We shall smite them"—Local 301 was on the job trying to work out a satisfactory settlement. Here are the facts:

1. In Bldg. 65, Local 301 has had a grievance since May 4, 1956, patiently attempting to improve rates on NIM production to a $1.00 per hour. The company employees are fully aware of their rights. They know that a $1.50 per hour is a living wage and will continue to press for it. On May 4, 1956, the company's employees were told that a new, larger plant was to be built in Bldg. 301 and that the employees would have to accept a $1.00 per hour rate. The employees were told that if they did not accept the new rate, they would be discharged. The employees refused to accept the lower rate and the company discharged them. The employees then went on strike, demanding that they be reinstated at their former rates.

2. After many meetings, GE finally granted the employees a settlement (subject to proving) on or about Oct. 30, 1956, the day the old Bldg 301 plant terminated.

Effective Action Straightens Out Trouble; GE Retaliates, Threatens To Take Away Our Rights!

There were several important developments within the last week which must be set in proper perspective in order to understand the entire situation.

First, the action of Wallas concerning "company policy" is nothing new. It has been an ongoing problem for over 4 years and has been going on for over 3 years.

Second, the company is threatening to take action if the employees do not accept the new rates. This is not unusual. The company has been threatening the employees for some time, and the employees have been fighting back. The company is trying to force the employees to accept the new rates, or face the consequences.

The action of Wallas concerning "company policy" is nothing new. It has been an ongoing problem for over 4 years and has been going on for over 3 years.

The company is threatening to take action if the employees do not accept the new rates. This is not unusual. The company has been threatening the employees for some time, and the employees have been fighting back. The company is trying to force the employees to accept the new rates, or face the consequences.

The results of this struggle will determine the future of the labor movement in the United States. The employees are determined to fight for their rights and will not be forced to accept the new rates. The company's tactics are only delaying the inevitable, and the employees will continue to fight until they are victorious.
In the previous issue, the Union had revealed its plans to challenge the recent settlement with the firm. The letter argued that the workers had not been properly represented and that the settlement did not adequately address their concerns. The Union called for a full investigation of the contract and urged the workers to stand firm in their demands.

On the other hand, the company defended its position, stating that the settlement had been negotiated in good faith and that it had been ratified by a majority of the workers. The company also pointed out that the workers had had the opportunity to participate in the negotiations and that the terms of the settlement were comparable to those in other contracts.

The Union then decided to take the matter to court, arguing that the company had violated the provisions of the contract and that the workers had been unfairly treated. The case was scheduled to be heard in the coming weeks.

As the legal battle continued, the company and the Union both strengthened their positions, each backing their arguments with evidence and data. The workers, meanwhile, continued to meet with their lawyers and prepare for the court proceedings.

Meanwhile, the economy remained strong, with businesses reporting robust sales and profits. The Union, however, remained determined to uphold the rights of its members and to ensure that they were fairly treated in the workplace.

In a separate development, the company announced that it was expanding its operations into new markets. The decision was expected to create new job opportunities for workers, but it also raised concerns about potential job losses in the near future.

As the year drew to a close, the Union and the company remained committed to finding a resolution that would be fair to all parties involved. The future, however, remained uncertain, as negotiations continued and the legal battle raged on.

In conclusion, the Union and the company were committed to ensuring that the workers' rights were upheld and that they were treated fairly in the workplace. The legal battle was only just beginning, and both sides were prepared to fight for what was right.