Kriss Blasts Commies
At City Council Meeting

Everybody was there but Victor.
The city council chambers were jammed with IBEW Democrats, Bah-
mester Democrats, Republicans, (still workers, even at U5 games.

We wonder what the gates to the council are.
We wonder what the gates to the council are.

Pascho, failed to show up. Come to
this, nothing like good, honest, comradely leaders. I always
ready to speak up for what they believe in.

You all must know what happened
by now—but maybe you don't, because
the Passo didn't publish it in his Weekly News, which was
in the hands of a retired IUE vice-president.

PASCHIE INVITED

Anyways, on the suggestion of coun-
chairs. In the IUE circle, the city secre-
t of invited Mr. Pascho and Business
agent Frank C. Krista of IUE-CIO local
302 to come to the council meeting to
give their points of view. Sounds like
naive, ill-informed, but ill-informed
on the important, unimportant
on the important, unimportant

Dr. Smith's stand was that
he didn't want to see the
Passo published it in his Weekly News, which was
in the hands of a retired IUE vice-president.

PASCHIE BACKS OUT

But Victor didn't come. Neither,
couldn't resist the temptation to
sit down and talk about the
Passo published it in his Weekly News, which was
in the hands of a retired IUE vice-president.

WESTINGHOUSE VOTES TODAY

Today is the day for some 60-
thousand employees of the
Westinghouse Electric Corpora-
tion. Today is the day for some 60-
thousand employees of the
Westinghouse Electric Corpora-
tion. Today is the day for some 60-
thousand employees of the
Westinghouse Electric Corpora-
tion. Today is the day for some 60-

IUE-CIO is confident that any
group of American workers, hav-
ing seen presented the facts, will
see the facts, will
see the facts, will
see the facts, will

DON'T WATCH ME
WATCH WESTINGHOUSE!
WHO'S WHO IN "UE"

This is the seventh in a series of articles on the Communist leadership of UE. The material for these articles is taken from authentic government sources.

RON RUEKIL

In 1941, Ron Ruesch, a Communist, was hired by the Communist Party to head the National Union of Textile Workers (NUTW). He is a member of the Communist Party since 1928 and was a delegate to the 1954 UE convention.

Before the incident in which Ruesch was wounded, he was editor of the "New Order," a monthly organ of the Communist Party. (Indo-China), published in Chicago, Ill., on May 7, 1942, as one of the strongest Communist organs in the country.

Two excerpts from one of Ruesch's articles, originally published in the Communist newspaper "New Order," illustrate his Communist philosophy:

"One of the key activities of the Communist Party, in its struggle against the Fascist regimes, is the establishment of a workers' and farmers' front, leading to an immediate shift of the state's entire economic and military apparatus to the workers and farmers' revolution. This is the fundamental goal of the Communist Party's work." (Indo-China)

"And thus we find our present problem not just a matter of fighting the Fascist regimes. It is the fight against the imperialist war, and it is the fight against the war of the workers and farmers for their own freedom." (Indo-China)

CWA OFFERS AFFIDAVIT TO "UE"

The CIO Communications Workers of America, a union that became "UE" in 1950, has offered an affidavit to "UE" to support the union's bid for recognition by the National Labor Relations Board (NLRB).

The affidavit was presented to the NLRB by the Communications Workers of America (CWA) on May 2, 1950. The affidavit states that the union has been in existence for 40 years and has a membership of over 1 million workers.

The affidavit also states that the CWA has a strong record of success in organizing workers in the communications industry, and that the union has been instrumental in improving working conditions and wages for its members.

The affidavit was signed by CWA President John B. Lucey, who said, "The Communications Workers of America is proud to stand together with the workers of the "UE" in their struggle for a better life."

The affidavit was presented to the NLRB by the CWA on May 2, 1950. It states that the union has a strong record of success in organizing workers in the communications industry, and that the union has been instrumental in improving working conditions and wages for its members.

The affidavit was signed by CWA President John B. Lucey, who said, "The Communications Workers of America is proud to stand together with the workers of the "UE" in their struggle for a better life."

LOCAL 2054

PLEDGES SUPPORT

President Anthony Barzak, speaking before the IUE-CIO stewards meeting last week, pledged full support of the negotiations of the "Wright Movements." He also reaffirmed the union's commitment to the "Wright Movements."

COUNCIL CHERS

The multi-union IUE-CIO stewards meeting last week, pledged full support of the negotiations of the "Wright Movements." He also reaffirmed the union's commitment to the "Wright Movements."

CHOWDER HEAD AL" RUNS OUT ON DEBATE WITH JAMES B. CAREY

In one of the most incredible displays of chicanery ever seen in the American trade union movement, 1945, President Albert Fitzenhale first agreed to debate James E. Carey in Baltimore on April 23, and then Francis P. Whalen, over the past six years, has used his position to hinder the debate.

In order to escape an ignominious defeat at the hands of the powerful Carey, the IUE-CIO has been forced to adopt a 15-point strategy to prevent his vision of the union from materializing.

The union's strategy is as follows: Carey must not be discussed or mentioned in the press, and the IUE-CIO must be portrayed as a "good" union.

We have just learned that the debate will be held on April 23, 1950. But the debate will be held under the control of the IUE-CIO, and the debate will be rig- idly controlled. If Carey should agree to this, it is certain that he will be denied a fair hearing.

Assistant Sent

As soon as Harcourt arrives, however, it becomes apparent that the IUE-CIO has no intention of granting Carey a fair hearing. Carey should not agree to this and should not agree to a debate without a fair hearing.
Rank and File Views...

To the Editor:

I'm not an oldtimer in the ship, as I can't remember the old days before the union came in, but yet I have respect for what unions have done for the working man. I've worked in the plant steadily since 1940 except for a few years in the Army.

I remember that we got two hikes increases about that time. Seems like it was something called the "Little Steel Formula." It was made to work for Uncle Sam and I remember it being in the news quite regularly. It was a pay raise for union men. Lots of the fellows had never worked in a shop and were not too sympathetic toward unions. They kept saying how the unions were run by Communists.

With the war over, there was a lot of agitation to discharge all the union men. In fact, I remember reading the newspaper how an individual who worked in the plant was discharged! Someone was saying "Bring the Boys Home." I didn't know at the time that the Communist Party was for the boys to stay in all the time.

About that time we saw a change in the U.I.E. that seemed to coalesce with the war with Russia. The U.I.E. News attached the President, Congress, our foreign policy, the Marshall Plan, the U.S. anti-semitism and anything else that was anti-Russian. But, the pay-off came when the U.I.E. chose to back Harry S. Truman for President. Fitzgerald was chairman of the Progressive Party Convention and every U.I.E. organ began to support the progressive party in the state. Now we could only convince the numbers that the U.I.E. Communist officers must be thrown out. But, we found that it was far better to throw out those Communists.

When I see some of the good people who are still following U.I.E. news, I wonder how skilled the Communists are at twisting the truth and confusing the real issues. The way I see it, if a guy wants to support the U.I.E., he might as well do all the way and hop the next bus to Russia. But if a guy wants an American Trade Union affiliated with the CIO, and backed by such people as Francis T. McGrath, Secretary of Labor, Tully, then he should vote IUE-CIO.

"A Lynn Gil Worker"

MALE SITUATION WANTED

"Business Agent, available anytime after June 15, with 14 years service; trained to follow instructions in the letter; desires to make new connections."

This advertisement has never appeared in public print as yet, but its counterpart did appear in the April 21 edition of EY News under the heading "U.S. Approach the Wrong Man."

"I'm a man who never heard of the wrong man, but U.I.E. heard the wrong MAN."

K. A. Future, although a good, hardworking fellow, is not even a member of the IUE. His statement, "He is not in a position to make any demands on behalf of U.I.E.

"Elmer Fisk, who is an IUE shop steward, and a good one at that. U.I.E. is willing to take his word against Kelly's any day in the week."

The word from Elmer is: "Yes, I know Kelly, in fact I even talk to him. But this story is nothing but lies from beginning to end. I wouldn't ask him to be my dog catcher in IUE."

"Since it is in the U.I.E. contract to pay all the money, so we can't blame Leo and Kelly for putting out the "peace feelings" on the front page of EY News."

But the verdict, as ever, is in your own hands; if you want to make progress you should vote for the U.I.E-CIO.

(We advise, however, that you get on the New York State Unemployment List — it's cheaper than front page ads.)

THE IUE-CIO WINS ELECTIONS

SHORT CIRCUITS

FORD INSTRUMENT

NO UNION 17

UE-CIO 868

UE(CIO) 0

REMINGTON RAND

UE-CIO 1074

NO UNION 923

UE(CIO) 0

GRAND TOTAL

IUE-CIO 1991

UE(CIO) 0

LISTEN!

IUE-CIO On The Air

EVERY SUNDAY

105-110 P.M.

EVERY MONDAY

3:35 to 4:00 P.M.

District at

VOTE IUE-CIO