The Bombings

The article by Alan Haley in "The Albany Student Press" on the October 16, 1970, page 3, should not reflect the opinion of the newspaper. The article is biased and should not be a sign for the rest of us. Most of the bombings have been directed toward Defense Department or similar installations.

The rationale is that of the guerilla behind enemy lines. The bombers' magnanimous acceptance of the principle of all-out war is a sign that we are utterly responsible for the bombings.

In the most liberal sense, that we do not follow their indulgence in terrorism. To claim otherwise is an act of abrogation of moral responsibility. To abrogate moral responsibility, one must necessarily reflect on his history of personal and collective experience. If we are not to follow them, they, in turn, must do more to bring about a true revolution.

FSA Interrogated

As opposed to an Editorial note, the response to FSA interrogations in respect to the bombing of the craftsman's death raises a question. Mr. Sclafani, in his speech, mentioned that the bomb was dropped from a B-5 2's bomb which was supposedly "accidental." Mr. Sclafani's aims in the bombing were to question the bombing as a tactic, and to mix the trial of the bomber and the trial of the bomber's victim. He himself, in fact, may soon be an accused of the bombing as well.
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Come meet the other math majors and faculty. Refreshments (honorary), Math Club and Math Tutors, staff members. Interested in helping? Call Michael Tribus, 457-8074.

There will be a social hour at 6:30 p.m. Tickets will be on sale at 6:30 p.m. for $1.50.
In Defense Of Liberalism

by Arnold Johnson

The "peace" proposal which President Nixon unveiled on Oct. 2 is not the slightest degree inspired by anti-imperialism, but the basic political and military policy of the U.S. government.

This is the reason why we must oppose the Nixon proposal. It is a goading provocation to the anti-war movement. We feel this is the only solution to the Vietnam and Southeast Asia. We will not be satisfied with the "peace" proposal which Nixon wants to shove down the throats of the American people.

We are not fooled by Nixon's claim of seeking to end the war in Vietnam. Nixon wants to keep the war going and to use it as an instrument of our foreign policy. The Nixon proposal is a way of forcing the United States to go on with the war and to intensify the war.
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We are not fooled by Nixon's claim of seeking to end the war in Vietnam. Nixon wants to keep the war going and to use it as an instrument of our foreign policy. The Nixon proposal is a way of force...
THE ASP SPORTS

THE Purple and Gold Machine

by Mike Tackett

Albanian State entered another milestone in its football history with a 29-0 homecoming victory over State College Saturday afternoon. Following a surprising second half, the Hornets took command of the game.

The game was played on the lawn of the old athletic field, where a large crowd gathered to watch the match. Despite the cool weather, the players were in fine form as they battled it out on the field.

The first half was a slow affair, with both teams holding their own. The State College defense was particularly strong, with several tackles made. However, the Hornets were able to score early in the second half, much to the delight of the home crowd.

In the second half, the Hornets continued to dominate, scoring three more touchdowns. The final score was 29-0, with the State College defense unable to stop the Hornets.

The win was an important one for the Hornets, as they move closer to securing the conference championship.

Albanian State now stands at 7-2 for the season, with two games remaining.
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For Blind People Only

by Emily J. Bowen

As a student at the University of the City of New York, I have learned that when the few who have power try to keep us from thinking, they must resort to the crutch of mandatory speeches. It is this crutch that must be abandoned, particularly in the light of history. The attempt to sabotage student rights in New York State not only stymies those who are working for the purest of causes, but it has left a void in our lives. The attempt to ignore the cries of the masses is not only a waste of time, but it is a waste of money. The attempt to stifle the voice of the people is not only a violation of our rights, but it is a violation of our responsibilities.

For Blind People Only

by Emily J. Bowen

As a student at the University of the City of New York, I have learned that when the few who have power try to keep us from thinking, they must resort to the crutch of mandatory speeches. It is this crutch that must be abandoned, particularly in the light of history. The attempt to sabotage student rights in New York State not only stymies those who are working for the purest of causes, but it has left a void in our lives. The attempt to ignore the cries of the masses is not only a waste of time, but it is a waste of money. The attempt to stifle the voice of the people is not only a violation of our rights, but it is a violation of our responsibilities.

For Blind People Only

by Emily J. Bowen

As a student at the University of the City of New York, I have learned that when the few who have power try to keep us from thinking, they must resort to the crutch of mandatory speeches. It is this crutch that must be abandoned, particularly in the light of history. The attempt to sabotage student rights in New York State not only stymies those who are working for the purest of causes, but it has left a void in our lives. The attempt to ignore the cries of the masses is not only a waste of time, but it is a waste of money. The attempt to stifle the voice of the people is not only a violation of our rights, but it is a violation of our responsibilities.

For Blind People Only

by Emily J. Bowen

As a student at the University of the City of New York, I have learned that when the few who have power try to keep us from thinking, they must resort to the crutch of mandatory speeches. It is this crutch that must be abandoned, particularly in the light of history. The attempt to sabotage student rights in New York State not only stymies those who are working for the purest of causes, but it has left a void in our lives. The attempt to ignore the cries of the masses is not only a waste of time, but it is a waste of money. The attempt to stifle the voice of the people is not only a violation of our rights, but it is a violation of our responsibilities.

For Blind People Only

by Emily J. Bowen

As a student at the University of the City of New York, I have learned that when the few who have power try to keep us from thinking, they must resort to the crutch of mandatory speeches. It is this crutch that must be abandoned, particularly in the light of history. The attempt to sabotage student rights in New York State not only stymies those who are working for the purest of causes, but it has left a void in our lives. The attempt to ignore the cries of the masses is not only a waste of time, but it is a waste of money. The attempt to stifle the voice of the people is not only a violation of our rights, but it is a violation of our responsibilities.

For Blind People Only

by Emily J. Bowen

As a student at the University of the City of New York, I have learned that when the few who have power try to keep us from thinking, they must resort to the crutch of mandatory speeches. It is this crutch that must be abandoned, particularly in the light of history. The attempt to sabotage student rights in New York State not only stymies those who are working for the purest of causes, but it has left a void in our lives. The attempt to ignore the cries of the masses is not only a waste of time, but it is a waste of money. The attempt to stifle the voice of the people is not only a violation of our rights, but it is a violation of our responsibilities.

For Blind People Only

by Emily J. Bowen

As a student at the University of the City of New York, I have learned that when the few who have power try to keep us from thinking, they must resort to the crutch of mandatory speeches. It is this crutch that must be abandoned, particularly in the light of history. The attempt to sabotage student rights in New York State not only stymies those who are working for the purest of causes, but it has left a void in our lives. The attempt to ignore the cries of the masses is not only a waste of time, but it is a waste of money. The attempt to stifle the voice of the people is not only a violation of our rights, but it is a violation of our responsibilities.